# EXHIBIT A



# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

# **Document Scanning Lead Sheet**

Apr-10-2019 1:18 pm

Case Number: CGC-19-575169

Filing Date: Apr-10-2019 1:14

Filed by: KALENE APOLONIO

Image: 06761622

COMPLAINT

COLTON SCHMIDT ET AL VS. AAF PLAYERS, LLC ET AL

001C06761622

#### Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

Case Info Page 1 of 1

Contact Us

# THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Case Number: CGC19575169
Title: COLTON SCHMIDT ET AL VS. AAF PLAYERS, LLC ET AL
Cause of Action: CONTRACT/WARRANTY
Generated: 2019-04-17 2:52 pm

Register of Actions Parties Attorneys Calendar Payments Documents

Please Note: The "View" document links on this web page are valid until 3:02:36 pm

After that, please refresh your web browser. (by pressing Command +R for Mac, pressing F5 for Windows or clicking the refresh button on your web browser)

#### **Register of Actions**

| Show 10 entries             |  | Search:  |     |         |
|-----------------------------|--|----------|-----|---------|
| Date                        | Proceedings  | Document | Fee |         |
| 2019-04-10                  | NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF  | View     |     |         |
| 2019-04-10                  | CONTRACTAWARRANTY, COMPLAINT FILED BY PLAINTIFF SCHMIDT, COLTON INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED NORTHRUP, REGGIE INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED AS TO DEFENDANT AAF PLAYERS, LLC A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, D/B/A THE ALLIANCE OF AMERICAN FOOTBALL DUNDON, THOMAS AN INDIVIDUAL EBERSOL, CHARLES "CHARLIE" AN INDIVIDUAL LEGENDARY FIELD EXHIBITIONS, LLC A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AAF PROPERTIES, LLC A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY EBERSOL SPORTS MEDIA GROUP, INC. A DELAWARE CORPORATION DOES 1 TO 200 INCLUSIVE SUMMONS ISSUED, JUDICIAL COUNCIL CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET FILED CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE SCHEDULED FOR SEP-11-2019 PROOF OF SERVICE DUE ON JUN-10-2019 CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT DUE ON AUG-19-2019 COMPLEX LITIGATION ASSIGNMENT REQUESTED BY FILING PARTIES; FEE INCLUDED IN FILING FEE | View     | \$- | 1450.00 |
| Showing 1 to 2 of 2 entries |  |          | 1   | Next    |

CASE NUMBER: CGC-19-575169 COLTON SCHMIDT ET AL VS. AAF PLAYERS, LLC ET AL

#### NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF

A Case Management Conference is set for:

**DATE:** SEP-11-2019

TIME: 10:30AM

PLACE: Department 610

**400 McAllister Street** 

San Francisco, CA 94102-3680

All parties must appear and comply with Local Rule 3.

CRC 3.725 requires the filing and service of a case management statement form CM-110 no later than 15 days before the case management conference. However, it would facilitate the issuance of a case management order **without an appearance** at the case management conference if the case management statement is filed, served and lodged in Department 610 twenty-five (25) days before the case management conference.

Plaintiff must serve a copy of this notice upon each party to this action with the summons and complaint. Proof of service subsequently filed with this court shall so state. This case is eligible for electronic filing and service per Local Rule 2.11. For more information, please visit the Court's website at www.sfsuperiorcourt.org under Online Services.

[DEFENDANTS: Attending the Case Management Conference does not take the place of filing a written response to the complaint. You must file a written response with the court within the time limit required by law. See Summons.]

#### **ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REQUIREMENTS**

IT IS THE POLICY OF THE SUPERIOR COURT THAT EVERY CIVIL CASE SHOULD PARTICIPATE IN MEDIATION, ARBITRATION, NEUTRAL EVALUATION, AN EARLY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE, OR OTHER APPROPRIATE FORM OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PRIOR TO A TRIAL.

(SEE LOCAL RULE 4)

Plaintiff <u>must</u> serve a copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Package on each defendant along with the complaint. (CRC 3.221.) The ADR package may be accessed at www.sfsuperiorcourt.org/divisions/civil/dispute-resolution or you may request a paper copy from the filing clerk. All counsel must discuss ADR with clients and opposing counsel and provide clients with a copy of the ADR Information Package prior to filing the Case Management Statement.

Superior Court Alternative Dispute Resolution Administrator 400 McAllister Street, Room 103-A San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 551-3869

See Local Rules 3.3, 6.0 C and 10 B re stipulation to judge pro tem.

|  |  | <u> </u>  | CM-010               |
|--|--|---|----------------------|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name State Bar in Jonathan Farahi, Esq. (SBN 324316) Boris Treyzon, Esq (SBN 188893) | iumber, and address):  | FOR COURT USE ONLY  |                      |
| ABIR COHEN TREYZON SALO, LLP   |  | FILE  | n                    |
| 1901 Avenue of the Starts, Suite 935, Los A  | FAX NO.: (424)-288-4368  | San Francisco County Supe   | orior Court          |
| ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff   |  | ———   | iner Geun            |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sa.<br>STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street                                     |  | APR 1 0 2019  | <b>)</b>             |
| MAILING ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco CA 94  |  | CLEPK OF THE C  | SI MRIF              |
| BRANCH NAME: Central   | 102-4314   | - Chelene John  | 90111                |
| CASE NAME:   |  | De  | puty Clark           |
| Schmidt, Northrup, et al. v. AAF Pla   |  | CASE NUMBER:  |                      |
| CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET  Unlimited Limited  | Complex Case Designation   | CGC - 19 - 575  | 169                  |
| (Amount (Amount  | Counter Joinder  | JUDGE:  |                      |
| demanded demanded is   | Filed with first appearance by defend  | dant  |                      |
| exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)  | (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)  ow must be completed (see instructions)           | <u> </u>  |                      |
| Check one box below for the case type that   |  | 0.1 paga 17.  |                      |
| Auto Tort  | Contract   | Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403) |                      |
| Auto (22)  | Dieach of Contract Wallanty (00)   | ,   | ĺ                    |
| Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property   | Rule 3.740 collections (09)  Other collections (09)                                  | Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)  Construction defect (10)                       |                      |
| Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort  | Insurance coverage (18)  | Mass tort (40)  |                      |
| Asbestos (04)  | Other contract (37)  | Securities litigation (28)  |                      |
| Product liability (24)   | Real Property  | Environmental/Toxic tort (30)   |                      |
| Medical malpractice (45)  Other PI/PD/WD (23)  | Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)   | Insurance coverage claims arising fr<br>above listed provisionally complex co   | om the               |
| Non-Pt/PD/WD (Other) Tort  | Wrongful eviction (33)   | types (41)  |                      |
| Business tort/unfair business practice (07)  | Other real property (26)   | Enforcement of Judgment   | }                    |
| Civil rights (08)  | Unlawful Detainer  | Enforcement of judgment (20)  |                      |
| Defamation (13)  | Commercial (31)  | Miscellaneous Civil Complaint   |                      |
| Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19)  | Residential (32) Drugs (38)  | RICO (27) Other complaint (not specified above                                  | .1 (42)              |
| Professional negligence (25)   | Judicial Review  | Miscellaneous Civil Petition  | 7(42)                |
| Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)   | Asset forfeiture (05)  | Partnership and corporate governan-   | ce (21)              |
| Employment   | Petition re: arbitration award (11)  | Other petition (not specified above) (  | (43)                 |
| Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  | Writ of mandate (02)   |   |                      |
| 1  | Other judicial review (39) Diex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru                | ules of Court. If the case is complex, m  | ark the              |
| factors requiring exceptional judicial manage  | gement:  | and of Journal of the Company of  |                      |
| a. Large number of separately repres   |  | er of witnesses   |                      |
| b Extensive motion practice raising of   |  | with related actions pending in one or  |                      |
| issues that will be time-consuming c. Substantial amount of documentar   |  | ties, states, or countries, or in a federal<br>ostjudgment judicial supervision | Court                |
|  |  |   | punitive             |
| <ol> <li>Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.</li> <li>Number of causes of action (specify): 8</li> </ol>          | y inonetary v.[4] nonmonetary; (   | declaratory or injunctive relief c. 🔽   | Thamas               |
|  | s action suit.   |   |                      |
| 6. If there are any known related cases, file a  | nd serve a notice of related case. (You i  | may use form CM-015.)   | BY FA                |
| Date: 04-09-2019   |  |   | ONE LEGAL            |
| Jonathan Farahi, Esq   |  | 0[/   |                      |
| (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)   | NOTICE   | SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)                                       |                      |
| Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the funder the Probate Code, Family Code, or Via coordinate.                   | irst paper filed in the action or proceeding   |   |                      |
| in sanctions.  • File this cover sheet in addition to any cove • If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et a           | er sheet required by local court rule.<br>seq. of the California Rules of Court, you | u must serve a copy of this cover sheet   | on all               |
| <ul> <li>other parties to the action or proceeding.</li> <li>Unless this is a collections case under rule</li> </ul>     | 3.740 or a complex case, this cover she  | eet will be used for statistical purposes                                       | only.<br>Page 1 of 2 |
| Form Adopted for Mandatory Use   | CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET   | Cel. Rules of Court, rules 2:30, 3:220, 3:40                                    | 0-3.403, 3.740,      |

Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10 www.courtinlo.ca.gov





# INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

**Auto Tort** Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage
Asbestos Property Damage
Asbestos Personal Injury/
Wrongful Death
Product Liability (not asbestos or
toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice
Other PI/PD/WD (23)
Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress Other Pt/PD/WD Non-Pl/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35) Wrongful Termination (36)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty
Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure) **Unlawful Detainer** Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal gs (30) (ii the case involves linegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review
Asset Forfelture (05)
Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus
Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
Securities Litigation (28)
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of **Judgment on Unpaid Taxes** Other Enforcement of Judgment Case Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-harassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition

Page 2 of 2

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

Other Employment (15)

| (1  | SUMMONS<br>CITACION JUDICIAL)   | SUM-100  FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)  |
|---|---|--|
| NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:<br>(AVISO AL DEMANDADO)<br>AAF Players, LLC, a De  | l:<br>laware Limited Liability Company, d/b/a/ The  |  |
| Alliance of American Fo<br>YOU ARE BEING SUED B<br>(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO  | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •   |  |
| Reggie Northrup, individ  | nally and on behalf of others similarly situated;<br>dually and on behalf of others similarly situated,<br>The court may decide against you without your being heard unless   |  |
| below. You have 30 CALENDAR DA's served on the plaintiff. A letter or case. There may be a court form Online Self-Help Center (www.cothe court clerk for a fee walver for may be taken without further war There are other legal requirer referral service. If you cannot aff these nonprofit groups at the Cal (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/selfhelp), costs on any settlement or arbitra (AVISOI Lo han demandado. Si continuación. Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDAL corte y hacer que se entregue ur en formato legal correcto si dese Puede encontrar estos formulario biblioteca de leyes de su condad que le dé un formulario de exenc podrá quiter su sueldo, dinero y Hay otros requisitos legales. E remisión a abogados. Si no puec programa de servicios legales si (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en colegio de abogados locales. AV cualquier recuperación de \$10,0 | As after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in p that you can use for your response. You can find these court formaurinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse rm. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case ning from the court.  The court, nents. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not knord an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nifornia Legal Services Web site (www.lewhelpcalifornia.org), the Coord or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: ation award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lian mus no responde dentro de 30 dlas, la corte puede decidir en su contra RIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo para que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formus se de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Corto o en la corte que la quede más cerça. Si no puede pagar la cuotión de pago de cuotes. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede ción de pago de cuotes. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede ción de pago de cuotes. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede ción de pago de cuotes. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede ción de pago de cuotes. | written response at this court and have a copy roper legal form if you want the court to hear your is and more information at the California Courts nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask by default, and your wages, money, and property sow an attorney, you may want to call an attorney on profit legal services program. You can locate alifornia Courts Online Self-Help Center. The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and to be paid before the court will dismiss the case. It is nescuchar su versión. Lea la información a sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta vara presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta varieto que usted pueda usar para su respuesta, es de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la la de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte de perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le cara obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el sitlo web de California Legal Services, et los exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre le arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que |
| The name and address of the (El nombre y dirección de la co   |   | CASCASC 19-575169  |
| The name, address, and telep  | Court<br>in Francisco, CA 94102-4514<br>hone number of plainliffs attomey, or plaintiff without an atto<br>timero de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demi<br>COHEN TREYZON SALO, 1901 Ave of the Stat  | andante que no tiene abogado, es):   |
| DATE:<br>(FechaAPR 1 0 2019   | CLERK OF Secretario   | ANENE AROLONIO Deputy (Adjunto)  |
| (For proof of service of this sur<br>(Para prueba de entrega de es<br>(SEAL)  | mmons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).  Ita citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (  NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served  1 as an individual defendant.  2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of  3 on behalf of (specify):   | POS-010)). <b>1</b><br>BY FA)  |
| OF SAN  | under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date):   | CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)   |
| Form Adopted for Mandatory Use<br>Judicial Council of California<br>SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]   | SUMMONS   | Code of Civil Procedure \$§ 412.20, 465<br>www.courtinfo.ca.gov  |

EXHIBIT A, PAGE 19

| 0  |  | 0                                  |                             |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|  |  |                                    | SUM-200(A                   |
| SHORT TITLE:<br>Schmidt, Northrup, et al. v. AAF   | Players, et al.  | CASE NUMB                          | 19 <b>-</b> 575169          |
| <ul> <li>This form may be used as an attachm</li> <li>If this attachment is used, insert the fo<br/>Attachment form is attached."</li> </ul> | INSTRUCTIONS FO ent to any summons if space do illowing statement in the plaintiff | R USE es not permit the listing of | all parties on the summons. |
| List additional parties (Check only one  | box. Use a separale page for ea  | ach type of party.):               |                             |
| Plaintiff  Defendant   | Cross-Complainant  | Cross-Defendant                    |                             |
| Thomas Dundon, an individual; Ca Delaware Limited Liability Cor Ebersol Sports Media Group, Inc.   | npany: AAF Properties, LI  | C, a Delaware Limite               | d Liability Company;        |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |
|  |  |                                    |                             |

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-200(A) [Rev. January 1, 2007] ADDITIONAL PARTIES ATTACHMENT
Attachment to Summons

ABIR COHEN TREYZON SALO, LLP 1 Boris Treyzon, Esq. (SBN 188893) 2 Jonathon Farahi, Esq. (SBN 324316)- Farahi@ actslaw. 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 935 COYY San Francisco County Superior Court 3 Los Angeles, CA 90067 APR 1 0 2019 Telephone: (424) 288-4367 4 Facsimile: (424) 288-4368 ERK OF THE COURT 5 Attorneys for Colton Schmidt and Reggie Northrup Deputy Clerk 6 Attorneys for the Plaintiff Class 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 9 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 10 Colton Schmidt, individually and on behalf of 11 others similarly situated; Reggie Northrup, individually and on behalf of others similarly 12 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES situated, 13 1. BREACH OF CONTRACT Plaintiffs, 14 2. BREACH OF IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR VS. 15 **DEALING** AAF Players, LLC, a Delaware Limited 16 3. PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL Liability Company, d/b/a/ The Alliance of 17 American Football.; Thomas Dundon, an 4. FAILURE TO PAY WAGES IN individual; Charles "Charlie" Ebersol, an VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE § 18 individual; Legendary Field Exhibitions, LLC, 201, ET SEQ. a Delaware Limited Liability Company; AAF 19 5. VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND Properties, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200, ET Company; Ebersol Sports Media Group, Inc., 20 SEQ. a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 21 6. FRAUD 200, inclusive, 7. FALSE PROMISE 22 Defendants. 8. INDUCING BREACH OF 23 CONTRACT 24 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 25 26 BY FAX 27 ONE LEGAL LLC 28 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Plaintiffs Colton Schmidt and Reggie Northrup (collectively referred herein as "Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, and demanding trial by jury, complain and allege upon information and belief:

#### **PARTIES**

#### 1. Plaintiffs

- a. Plaintiff Colton Schmidt, ("Plaintiff Schmidt") is, and at all relevant times has been, citizen and resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Colton Schmidt was a player in a now-defunct football league commonly known as the Alliance of American Football ("AAF") owned and operated by the Defendants herein. The AAF was only operated for eight weeks before Defendants announced league operations were indefinitely suspended. Plaintiff Colton Schmidt would not have played in the league, subjecting himself to serious risk of physical harm or damage to his health, and would not have foregone other financial opportunities and entered into contracts with the Defendants as described herein if Plaintiff knew the league was not financially viable from the outset, and that the intent of its main investor was to fraudulently, deceptively, and pretextually acquire underlying intellectual property and/or technology from the league and then cease league operations.
- b. Plaintiff Reggie Northrup, ("Plaintiff Northrup") is, and at all relevant times has been, a citizen and resident of the County of Orange, State of Florida. Reggie Northrup was a player in a now-defunct football league commonly known as the Alliance of American Football ("AAF") owned and operated by the Defendants herein. The AAF was only operated for eight weeks before Defendants announced league operations were indefinitely suspended. Plaintiff Northrup would not have played in the league, subjecting himself to serious risk of physical harm or damage to his health, and would not have foregone other financial opportunities and entered into contracts with the

0

Defendants as described herein if Plaintiff knew the league was not financially viable from the outset, and that the intent of its main investor was to fraudulently, deceptively, and pretextually acquire underlying intellectual property and/or technology from the league and then cease league operations.

#### 2. Defendants

- a. AAF Players, LLC ("League") is, and at all times has been, a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 149 New Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California. This is the company which entered into the contract with Plaintiff.
- b. Defendant Thomas Dundon ("Dundon") is, and at all relevant times has been, a citizen and resident of Dallas, Texas. Defendant Dundon is being sued in his individual capacity.
- c. Defendant Charles "Charlie" Ebersol ("Ebersol") is, and at all relevant times has been, a citizen and resident of Los Angeles County, California. Defendant Ebersol is being sued in his individual capacity.
- d. Defendant Legendary Field Exhibitions, LLC ("Legendary") is, and at all times has been, a Delaware company with its principal place of business at 149 New Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California. On information and belief, this is a holding company for the assets of the AAF that also serves as an operating entity for the AAF, its assets, and various subsidiaries.
- e. Defendant AAF Properties, LLC ("Holding Company") is, and at all times has been, a Delaware company and has its principal place of business at 149 New Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California. On information and belief, this company holds certain AAF assets and serves as an operating entity for the AAF's gaming platform.
- f. Defendant Ebersol Sports Media Group, Inc. is, and at all times has been, a Delaware company with its principal place of business at 10866 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 300, Los Angeles, California. On information and belief,

2



Ebersol Sports Media Group is a predecessor entity to the AAF, and/or a holding company for Charlie Ebersol's ownership interest in Defendant Legendary Field Exhibitions, LLC.

- 3. Each defendant named herein, including DOES 1-200, acted as an agent, joint venturer, employee, associate, assign, partner, contractor, representative, or alter ego of or for the other Defendants regarding the acts, violations, and common course of conduct alleged herein.
- 4. Various persons, individuals, partnerships, corporations, businesses form unknown and associations, not named as defendants, have participated as co-conspirators in the violations alleged, and have performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.
- 5. Plaintiffs do not know the true names and capacities of the Defendants sued as Does 1 through 200, inclusive, and therefore sues those Defendants by fictitious names under California Code of Civil Procedure, section 474. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to state the true names and capacities of the fictitiously-named Defendants when those names are ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the fictitiously-named Defendants is legally responsible for the events and damages alleged under the causes of action alleged.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the named and fictitious Defendants identified was the agent, joint venturer, employee, associate, assignee, assignor, partner, contractor, representative, or alter ego of one or more of the other Defendants and was acting in the course and scope of such agency, partnership, joint venture, association and/or employment when the acts causing the causes of action occurred.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure, section 382, Plaintiffs bring this case individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons who contracted with AAF Players, LLC or were involved with the Alliance of American Football as a player.
- 8. At all times, all Defendants and each of them purposely availed themselves of the benefits of the State of California by residing or doing business in California, thereby submitting

to the jurisdiction of the courts of the State.

- 9. At all times, all Defendants and each of them maintained sufficient contacts with the State of California, by either residing in California or operating the football league's business and management functions in California, such that this Court's exercise of personal jurisdiction over the Defendants does not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 10. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy under Code of Civil Procedure, section 410.10 and the amount in controversy exceeds the \$25,000.00 minimum jurisdictional requirement of this Court, exclusive of costs and attorney's fees.
- 11. Venue as to each Defendant is proper in this judicial district, under California Code of Civil Procedure, section 395(a) and 395.5. Each Defendant either maintains an office, transacts business, has an agent, or is found in the City and County of San Francisco and is within the jurisdiction of this Court for service of process. The unlawful acts alleged directly affected citizens within the State of California, and more particularly, within the City and County of San Francisco. More particularly, the contracts were negotiated and entered into in part, in the State of California and, more particularly, within the City and County of San Francisco. A majority of the acts and decisions leading to and constituting the contract breaches and other wrongs alleged herein occurred in the City and County of San Francisco.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

12. Plaintiffs sue on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, as a class action under California Code of Civil Procedure, section 382. The Class which Plaintiffs seek to represent comprises and is defined as all persons who contracted with AAF Players, LLC or were involved with the Alliance of American Football as a player. Specifically excluded from the Class are: the Defendants herein; officers, directors of Defendants; any entity in which any Defendant has a controlling interest; the affiliates, legal representatives, attorneys, heirs, or assigns of any Defendant; and any federal, state or local governmental entity, and any judge, justice, or judicial officers presiding over this matter and the Members of their immediate

O

families and judicial staffs.

- 13. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action, under California Code of Civil Procedure, section 382 because there is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the proposed class is easily ascertainable:
  - a. <u>Numerosity:</u> The Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all members is impracticable under the circumstances. While the exact number of class members is unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, the class is believed to be more than sufficient to satisfy the numerosity requirement of this Court. AAF consisted of eight centrally-operated teams. All players of these teams are members of the Class. Given the number of Class Members, joinder of all Members of the Class is not practicable.
  - b. <u>Common Questions Predominate</u>: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Members of the Class and predominate over questions which affect only individual Members of the class. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
    - i. Whether Defendants breached their contracts with the respective Class Members;
    - ii. Whether Defendants breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing;
    - iii. Whether Defendants may be prevented from repudiation of their agreements with the Class Members based on promissory estoppel;
    - iv. Whether Defendants breached California Labor Code, section 201, et seq.;
    - v. Whether Defendants violated California Business and Professions

| Code  | section | 17200   |
|-------|---------|---------|
| Coae. | section | I /ZUU: |

- vi. Whether the Defendants committed fraud;
- vii. Whether the Defendants are liable for false promises made to Class Members;
- viii. Whether Defendants are liable for intentional interference with the Class Members' existing contractual relations:
- ix. Whether Defendants are liable for inducing breach of the Class

  Members' contracts with AAF Players, LLC;
- x. The effect upon and the extent of injuries sustained by Members of the Class and the appropriate type and/or measure of damages;
- xi. The appropriate nature of Class-wide equitable relief.
- c. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Members of the Plaintiff Class. Plaintiffs and all Members of the Class sustained injuries and damages arising out of Defendants' common course of conduct in violation of law as complained of herein. The injuries and damages of each member of the Class were caused directly by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of law as alleged herein.
- d. Adequacy: Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Members of the Class. Plaintiffs reside in California or contracted with Defendant for a standard form contract to be performed, in whole or in part, in California. Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class as they have no interests adverse to the interests of absent Class Members. Each representative was a contracted player in the AAF or contracted with the AAF Players, LLC. Plaintiffs have retained counsel with substantial

<u>6</u>

experience and success in the prosecution of complex actions and mass torts.

- e. Superiority: A class action is superior to other means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since individual joinder of all members of the class is impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. The damages suffered by each individual member are the same throughout. The expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of the class to redress the wrongs done to them, while an important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The cost to the court system of adjudication of such individualized litigation would be substantial. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments.
- 14. Plaintiffs are unaware of any difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. As early as May, 2017, Charles "Charlie" Ebersol formed a joint venture or partnership agreement to launch the Alliance of American Football, a new professional football league.
- 16. On March 20, 2018, AAF CEO Charlie Ebersol publicly announced the creation of the AAF. Ebersol created the league intending to appear to potential AAF players as a legitimate league that would provide a potential path to a successful career as a future National

<u>7</u>

Football League player.

- 17. On March 20, 2018, AAF CEO Charlie Ebersol stated that all investors in the league understood that the league required patience and wisdom to succeed, "if you are not committed seven to ten years, you are not taking this seriously."
- 18. On March 20, 2018, AAF CEO Charlie Ebersol stated that the AAF wanted to find partners who understood that in order to build the league into a successful and viable business, long term and patient investment strategy was necessary. The AAF wanted investors committed to the long-term health of the league and wanted to present itself as stable and secure.
- 19. On March 20, 2018 AAF CEO Charlie Ebersol stated, "we are not reinventing football. We want to reinvent the experience . . . to a large degree what we think this is, is a very sober business model, long term plan that over the course of many years is going to build into something worthwhile. We are not trying to boil the entire ocean in the first day."
- 20. On information and belief, the AAF owns and centrally operates all eight AAF teams and employs each team's players, coaches, and staff. On further information and belief, the players are not represented by a players' union.
- 21. On July 24, 2018, the AAF announced that it was proud to have partnered with the legendary apparel brand, Starter, to be the official on field apparel and game day uniform supplier for all eight teams. This was to be a multi-year deal, clearly indicating that the AAF had aspirations and intentions to run for more than the eight weeks it was operated before it was shutdown.
- 22. On October 15, 2018, Reggie Northrup and Defendant AAF Players, LLC entered into a valid three-year term contract (the "Contract"). Reggie Northrup agreed to be bound by all terms and conditions set forth in the agreement. In consideration of the mutual promises, rights, obligations, terms and conditions, Defendant AAF Players, LLC agreed to pay Reggie Northrup

| 1          | in ten equal p  | payments:   |
|------------|-----------------|---|
| 2          | a.              | \$70,000 in the league year of 2019;  |
| 3          | b.              | \$80,000 in the league year of 2020; and  |
| 4          | c.              | \$100,000 in the league year of 2021.   |
| 5          | 23.             | On January 8, 2019, Colton Schmidt and Defendant AAF Players, LLC entered             |
| 7          | into a valid tl | hree-year term contract (the "Contract"). Colton Schmidt agreed to be bound by all    |
| 8          | terms and co    | nditions set forth in the agreement. In consideration of the mutual promises, rights, |
| 9          | obligations, to | erms and conditions, Defendant AAF Players, LLC agreed to pay Colton Schmidt in       |
| 10         | ten equal pay   | ments:  |
| 11         |                 | a. \$70,000 in the league year of 2019;   |
| 12         |                 | b. \$80,000 in the league year of 2020; and   |
| 13  <br>14 |                 | c. \$100,000 in the league year of 2021.  |
| 15         | 24.             | According to the terms of the Contract, Colton Schmidt, Reggie Northrup and           |
| 16         | Class Membe     | ers were to "not play football or attempt to play any type of football for any team,  |
| 17         | league or ass   | ociation of teams other than the team to which Player is allocated by the Alliance,   |
| 18         | except with t   | he prior written consent of the Alliance."  |
| 19         | 25.             | Each player in the Class signed the exact same standard form contract as Reggie       |
| 20         | Northrup and    | d Colton Schmidt. Each player owed Defendants the same significant, material          |
| 22         | conditions, co  | ovenants, and obligations under the terms of the Contract.                            |
| 23         | 26.             | Defendant owed each player in the class the significant, material conditions,         |
| 24         | covenants, ar   | nd obligations under the terms of the Contract.                                       |
| 25         | 27.             | On information and belief, Plaintiffs and Class Members never received the            |
| 26         | Football Adr    | ministration Manual referenced in the Contract. On further information and belief,    |
| 27         | Defendants      | never provided Plaintiffs and/or Class Members with the referenced Football           |
| 28         |                 |   |
|            |                 | 9<br>CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES   |
|            |                 |   |

 Administration Manual. If Defendants' provide a copy of the Football Administration Manual and proof of service of the Football Administration Manual, Plaintiffs and Class Members will suspend litigation to follow the grievance procedures purportedly set forth in that manual.

- 28. On February 9, 2019, the Alliance debuted as the highest rated sports program in primetime on February 9, 2019, on CBS, with additional broadcast partnerships with the NFL Network and Turner Sports adding millions more viewers. Over 6 million people watched the Alliance in its inaugural weekend according to the representations of the AAF itself.
- 29. On information and belief, the AAF had an official policy that once the regular season began, players were to stay for the completion of the Alliance season. Players could not seek employment with any other leagues, including the National Football League.
- 30. On information and belief, on February 19, 2019, Defendant Dundon committed to providing the AAF a \$250 million-dollar line of credit to ensure league operations could continue. Because of this commitment, Dundon became chairman of the board and had full control of the league's future. This commitment was widely disseminated and endorsed by Dundon.
- 31. On information and belief, Defendant Dundon was not an initial investor in the AAF.
- 32. On information and belief, when Dundon became the AAF's chairman and its primary financial backer, he gained final decision-making authority on all league operations.
- 33. During an interview on February 19, 2019, post-investment, Defendant Dundon stated, "[t]here's a difference between commitments and funding. They had the commitments to last a long time, but maybe not the money in the bank. My money is in my bank. I'm sure of it. The amount of money they (AAF) needed for Thursday wasn't an amount of money that would have taken the league down. You could make me feel really good... but the truth is, they had other people, they were talking."

- 34. During that same interview on February 19, 2019, post-investment, Defendant Dundon assured many years of ongoing league operations when he said, "[the AAF] didn't have a permanent solution like I provided. That's enough money to run this league for a long time, we're good for many years to come with what I just did."
- 35. On February 19, 2019, Charlie Ebersol as the CEO and co-founder of the league, said the league was never in any serious financial jeopardy. Ebersol is quoted as saying in response to Defendant Dundon's investment, "After that first week of games, we were at the height of our valuation and were able to dictate our future."
- 36. On information and belief, Dundon purchased a majority stake in the AAF not for the underlying asset of a professional football league, but rather for its intellectual property. Specifically, Dundon sought ownership rights in Defendant Ebersol Sports Media and Defendant Legendary Field Exhibitions, LLC's innovative gambling software application technology and its data.
- 37. On information and belief, Dundon's investment in the league was not for the benefit of the league itself or for profits he might have derived from the operation of the football league. The acquisition of the league through his investment was pretext: the true motivation of Defendant Dundon was to acquire the smartphone application intellectual property that could be used for gambling on player performance in fantasy football and real time proposition bets, all tied to player compensation based upon performance.
- 38. On information and belief, Defendants are still developing and/or perfecting these technologies despite the cessation of league operations.
- 39. On April 2, 2019, Defendant Dundon and Defendant AAF suspended operations of the Alliance of American Football effective immediately. The decision to suspend operations and discontinue games constituted both an anticipatory breach of the contract and a material

breach of the contract. 1 On or around April 2, 2019, the AAF announced that its players were now free to 2 40. pursue other playing opportunities, indicating the suspension of operations is permanent and 3 4 league operations will not resume. 5 On April 2, 2019, Colton Schmidt, Reggie Northrup and Class Members had 41. 6 performed all significant, material conditions, covenants, and obligations owed to Defendant AAF 7 Players, LLC under the terms of the Contract. 8 On April 2, 2019, Colton Schmidt, Reggie Northrup and Class Members stood 9 42. 10 ready to perform every significant material condition, covenant, and obligation owed to Defendant 11 AAF Players, LLC under the terms of the Contract for the remaining term. 12 All Class Members entered into the same standard form contract as Reggie 43. 13 Northrup and Colton Schmidt. 14 All Defendants, and each of them, were beneficiaries of AAF Players, LLC's 44. 15 contracts with league players and staff. Defendants, and each of them, were all involved in 16 17 cooperative and joint efforts for the operation and management of AAF. 18 On April 2, 2019, Colton Schmidt, Reggie Northrup and Class Members' Contract 45. 19 had not been voided, canceled, or terminated by the Defendants. 20 On April 2, 2019, Defendants were not excused in any way from performing every 46. 21 significant material condition, covenant, and obligated owed to Plaintiffs and Class Members. 22 /// 23 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 111 28 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

| 1  | - |   |
|--|---|---|
| ,  |   |   |
| 2  |   |   |
| ,  |   |   |
| 4  |   |   |
| 5  |   |   |
| 6  |   | ļ |
| 7  |   |   |
| 8  |   |   |
| 9  |   |   |
| 10   |   |   |
| 11   |   |   |
| 12   |   |   |
| 13   |   |   |
| 14   |   |   |
| 15   |   |   |
| 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18 |   |   |
| 17   |   |   |
| 18   |   |   |
| 19   |   |   |
| 20   |   |   |
| 21   |   |   |
| 22   |   |   |
| 23   |   |   |
| 24   |   |   |
| 25   |   |   |
| 26   |   |   |

28

#### **LIABILITY**

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **BREACH OF CONTRACT**

#### (Against Defendant AAF Players, LLC)

- 47. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as if set forth herein.
- 48. Plaintiffs and Defendant AAF Players, LLC, entered into a Contract. The Standard Player Agreement, referenced herein as the Contract, was a valid, enforceable contract between Plaintiffs and Defendant AAF Players, LLC, whereby Defendant AAF Players, LLC agreed to pay Plaintiffs certain sums of money for a term of three years and Plaintiffs promised to be bound by all terms and conditions set forth in the Contract.
  - 49. Class Members each entered into the same exact standard form Contract.
- 50. Plaintiffs and Class Members have substantially performed and stand ready to continue to perform every significant material condition, covenant, and obligation owed to Defendant under the terms of the Contract.
- 51. Defendant has materially breached the Contract, by among other things, failing and refusing to pay Plaintiffs the annual base compensation in the amounts stated in the Contract. Defendant has clearly and positively indicated, by words and/or conduct, that it will not and cannot meet the Contract requirements.
- 52. Defendant's breach directly and proximately caused a reasonably foreseeable injury to Plaintiffs and the Class. All parties knew or could reasonably have foreseen that the harm to Plaintiffs was likely to occur in the ordinary course of events because of the breach of the Contract.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of the Contract, Plaintiffs and the Class suffered damages as described above, and in an amount according to proof.

13

- 54. Plaintiffs further seek recovery of all other incidental, consequential, or compensatory damages arising from the breach of contract in an amount to be proven.
- 55. Under California Civil Code, section 3287, Plaintiffs and the Class seek prejudgment interest at the maximum legal rate, from the date of breach until trial.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# BREACH OF IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING (Against Defendant AAF Players, LLC, and Does 1-200)

- 56. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as if set forth herein.
- 57. Plaintiffs and Defendant entered into the Contract in December 2018 and January 2019. The Standard Player Agreement formed a valid, enforceable contract between Plaintiffs and Defendant AAF Players, LLC whereby Defendant agreed to pay Plaintiffs certain sums of money for a term of three years and Plaintiffs promised to be bound by all terms and conditions set forth in the Contract.
  - 58. Each Class Member entered into the same standard form Contract.
- 59. Plaintiffs and Class Members have substantially performed and stand ready to continue to perform every significant material condition, covenant, and obligation owed to Defendant under the terms of the contract.
- 60. Each party to the Contract owed the other party an obligation to deal fairly and in good faith with each other. Defendant AAF Players, LLC unfairly interfered with Plaintiffs' rights to receive the conditions, covenants, and obligations owed to them by Defendant AAF Players, LLC under the terms of the Contract.
- 61. Defendant's bad faith directly and proximately caused a reasonably foreseeable injury to Plaintiffs and the Class. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's bad faith, Plaintiffs have suffered damages as described above and, in an amount, according to proof.

- 62. Plaintiffs and the Class further seek recovery of all other incidental, consequential, or compensatory damages arising from the breach of contract in an amount to be proven.
- 63. Under California Civil Code, section 3287, Plaintiffs and the Class seek prejudgment interest at the maximum legal rate, from breach until trial.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

(Against all Defendants, and Does 1-200)

- 64. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as if set forth herein.
- 65. Defendants made promises which Defendants should have reasonably expected would induce Plaintiffs and Class Members to make a substantial change of position, by act and forbearance.
- 66. Plaintiffs and each Class member made a justified substantial change of position, by act and forbearance as a direct, proximate result of Defendants' promise.
- 67. Injustice can only be avoided if Defendants are forced to perform all the conditions, covenants, and obligations owed to Plaintiffs and Class Members.
- 68. Defendants' promises proximately caused a reasonably foreseeable injury to Plaintiffs and each Class member.
- 69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' promises, Plaintiffs and Class Members have suffered damages as described above and, in an amount, according to proof.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## FAILURE TO PAY WAGES IN VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE § 201, et seq.

(Against Defendant AAF Players, LLC, Ebersol, and Dundon, and Does 1-200)

- 70. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as if set forth herein.
- 71. Section 201 of the California Labor Code requires Defendant AAF Players, LLC

to pay Plaintiffs and Class Members any earned wages, including reimbursable expenses, within 72 hours of the cessation of Plaintiffs' employment.

- 72. Section 203 of the California Labor Code provides that if an employer willfully fails to timely pay such wages in accordance with the provisions of section 201, the employer must continue to pay the discharged employee's wages until the back wages are paid in full or an action to recover those wages is commenced, up to a period not to exceed 30 days as a penalty.
- 73. To date, Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiffs and Class Members earned monies due under the agreed upon terms of the Contract between Plaintiffs, Class Members, and Defendants. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs and Class Members was and continues to be willful.
- 74. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered economic damages in an amount to be proven.
- 75. As a further and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiffs may have the penalties provided for by California Labor Code, section 203.
- 76. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants; unlawful conduct and Labor Code violations, Plaintiffs and Class Members may recover attorneys' fees under California Labor Code, section 218.5.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200, et seq.

(Against Defendant AAF Players, LLC, and Does 1-200)

- 77. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as if set forth herein.
- 78. Failing to pay Plaintiffs and Class Members all wages due constitutes an unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice, in violation of the California Unfair Competition Law provided by the California Business and Professions Code, section 17200.

- 79. Orders for payment of wages unlawfully withheld from an employee are a restitutionary remedy authorized by the Business and Professions Code, section 17203.
- 80. Plaintiffs and Class Members may have restitution of all such unpaid amounts and reasonable attorneys' fees, in an amount according to proof at time of trial because Plaintiffs and Class Members are former employees from whom wages were unlawfully withheld.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **FRAUD**

#### (Against all Defendants, and Does 1-200)

- 81. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as if set forth herein.
- 82. Defendants concealed and suppressed a material fact about their intentions for the long-term viability of the Alliance of American Football.
- 83. Defendants had to disclose the fact to Plaintiffs and Class Members as an incident of the relationship between Defendants, Plaintiffs, and Class Members. Defendants' silence was wrongful. Once Defendants spoke about the long-term viability of the league, Defendants were obligated to make a full and fair disclosure.
- 84. Defendants intentionally concealed or suppressed their disregard for the long-term viability of the league intending to defraud Plaintiffs and Class Members and intended to conceal the fact that the league was insolvent. Instead, Defendants represented that league has obtained enough funding for years of operations.
- 85. Plaintiffs were unaware of the fact and would not have acted as they did if they had known of the concealed or suppressed fact. The concealed facts were material in that a reasonable person in Plaintiffs' position would have found it important in determining how he would have acted. Plaintiffs acted reasonably in relying on Defendants' misrepresentations.
  - 86. Plaintiffs Colton Schmidt, Reggie Northrup and Class Members would not have

 played in the league, subjecting themselves to serious risk of physical harm or damage to their health, and would not have foregone other financial opportunities and entered into contracts with the Defendants as described herein if Plaintiffs knew the league was not financially viable from the outset, and that the intent of its main investor was to fraudulently, deceptively, and pretextually acquire underlying intellectual property and/or technology from the league and then cease league operations.

- 87. On information and belief, payment was due to Plaintiffs and each Class Members after each game. On further information and belief, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the respective Class Members after the initial game.
- 88. On further information and belief, Plaintiffs and each Class Member continued to subject themselves to serious risk of physical harm or damage to their heath and continued to forego other financial opportunities based on Defendant Dundon and Defendant Ebersol's statements and financial commitment to the league.
- 89. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's misrepresentations, Plaintiffs and Class Members have suffered damages as described above and, in an amount, according to proof.
- 90. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's misrepresentations, Plaintiffs and Class Members pray for punitive damages, in an amount, according to proof.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **FALSE PROMISE**

#### (Against all Defendants, and Does 1-200)

- 91. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as if set forth herein.
- 92. Defendants made promises to Plaintiffs and Class Members regarding the long-term longevity and health of the league. Defendants did not intend to perform the promises made when they made the promises.
- 93. Defendants intended that Plaintiffs and Class Members rely on their promises.

  Plaintiffs and Class Members reasonably relied on Defendants' promises.

|          |                 | 0  |
|----------|-----------------|--|
| 1        | 94.             | Defendants did not perform the promised acts.  |
| 2        | 95.             | Plaintiffs and Class Members were harmed and Plaintiffs' and Class Members'          |
| 3        | reliance on De  | efendants' promises substantially caused Plaintiffs' and Class Members' harm.        |
| 4        | 96.             | As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and     |
| 5        | Class Membe     | rs have suffered damages in an amount to be proven.                                  |
| 6        |                 | EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION   |
| 7 8      |                 | INDUCING BREACH OF CONTRACT  |
| 9        | (Against D      | efendants Dundon, Legendary Field Exhibitions LLC, Ebersol Sports Media              |
| 10       | (1.18           | Group, Inc., AAF Properties, LLC, and Does 1-200)                                    |
| 11       | 97.             | Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all other paragraphs as if set forth herein.     |
| 12       |                 |  |
| 13       | 98.             | Plaintiffs and Defendant AAF Players, LLC entered into a contract. The Standard      |
| 14       |                 | ement formed a valid, enforceable contract between Plaintiffs and Defendants         |
| 15       | whereby Defe    | endants agreed to pay Plaintiffs certain sums of money for a term of three years and |
| 16       | Plaintiffs pror | mised to be bound by all terms set forth in the Contract.                            |
| 17       | 99.             | Defendants knew of the valid contract between Plaintiffs and Defendant AAF           |
| 18       | Players, LLC.   | •  |
| 19       | 100.            | Player Class Members all entered into the same Contract.                             |
| 20       | 101.            | Defendants intended to cause AAF Players, LLC to breach the Contract between         |
| 21       | Plaintiffs, Cla | ass Members, and Defendant AAF Players, LLC.   |
| 23       | 102.            | Defendants caused AAF Players, LLC to breach the Contract between Plaintiffs,        |
| 24       | Class Membe     | rs, and Defendant AAF Players, LLC.  |
| 25       | 103.            | Defendants' acts harmed Plaintiffs and Class Members, and Defendants' conduct        |
| 26       | substantially   | caused Plaintiffs' and Class Members' harm.  |
| 27<br>28 | 104.            | As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and     |
|          |                 | 19   |
|          |                 | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES   |

Class Members have suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

#### DAMAGES

Plaintiffs and Class Members incorporate by reference as if set forth herein every allegation in the Complaint.

As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of the Defendants alleged herein, Plaintiffs and Class Members were injured and damaged. The injuries and damages for which Plaintiffs and Class Members seek compensation from the Defendants include, but are not limited to:

- a. Compensatory damages according to proof
- Physical pain and suffering of a past, present, and future nature;
- c. Emotional pain and suffering of a past, present and future nature;
- d. Medical bills and expenses of a past, present and future nature
- e. Loss of earnings;
- f. Loss of earning capacity;
- g. Pre-and-post-judgement interest;
- Statutory and discretionary costs; and,
- All such further relief, both general and specific, to which they may be entitled to.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as if fully set forth each allegation in the Complaint.
WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Class Members pray for damages and other judicial relief:

- 1. That the Court determine this action may be maintained as a class action;
- That Plaintiffs and each and every member of the Class recover threefold the damages determined to have been sustained by them, and that joint and several judgments for Plaintiffs and every member of the Class, respectively, be entered against Defendants and each of them;
- 3. For general damages according to proof during trial;



|    | •  |
|----|--|
| 1  | 4. For special damages according to proof during trial;                                |
| 2  | 5. For prejudgment and post-judgment interest according to any provision of law,       |
| 3  | and according to proof;  |
| 4  | 6. For costs of suit and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by law, including, but |
| 5  | not limited to attorneys' fees under California Labor Code, section 218;               |
| 6  | 7. For punitive damages as provided by law;  |
| 7  | 8. Restitutionary remedies authorized by the Business and Professions Code,            |
| 8  | section 17203; and   |
| 9  | 9. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.                        |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | D. J. A. 11.10. 2010. Beautifully submitted  |
| 13 | Dated: April 10, 2019 Respectfully submitted,  |
| 14 | ABIR COHEN TREYZON SALO, LLP   |
| 15 | Ву:  |
| 16 | Boris Treyzon, Esq.<br>Jonathon Farahi, Esq.   |
| 17 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Plaintiff Class                                  |
| 18 | I idilitii Ciass   |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | 111  |
| 21 | 111  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |
| }  | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR PANCES  |
| 1. | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES   |

| 1        | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | 1. 1. C. II allows similarly situated respectfully   |
| 3        | Plaintiffs, on their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully |
| 5        | demand a jury trial.   |
| 6        |  |
| 7        | Dated: April 10, 2019 Respectfully submitted,  |
| 8        | ABIR COHEN TREYZON SALO, LLP   |
| 9        |  |
| 10       | By: Boris Treyzon, Esq.  |
| 11       | Jonathon Farahi, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed                                  |
| 12       | Plaintiff Class  |
| 13       |  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       |  |
| 16       |  |
| 17       |  |
| 18       |  |
| 19       |  |
| 20<br>21 |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 26       |  |
| 27       |  |
| 28       |  |
|          |  |
|          |  |
|          | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES   |
|          | CASS ACTION COMPLAINTS   |
|          | FOR DAMA   |
|          | MUES   |